

MOCK Check-list

1.	Article Selection - 1 point
	1.1 1 Point: Article meets all specified criteria (relevance, credibility, publication date, length, complexity). Submitted to the shared folder by the deadline.
	1.2 0.5 Points: Article meets most criteria, but there may be minor issues with one or two aspects (e.g., slightly older publication date, length slightly outside the recommended range). Submitted by the deadline.
	1.3 0 Points: Article does not meet several criteria, is not submitted to the shared folder, or is submitted significantly late.
2.	Question Formulation - 1 point
	2.1 1 Point: Formulates 3 insightful and thought-provoking questions that encourage critical thinking and reflection on the article's topic. Questions are clearly related to the article's core themes and demonstrate an understanding of discourse analysis prin
	2.2 0.5 Points: Formulates 1-2 questions that encourage critical thinking and reflection on the article's topic, yet they may lack depth or complexity. Connection to discourse analysis could be stronger.
	2.3 0 Points: Questions are superficial, irrelevant to the article's main points

or not submitted.

3.	Oral Summary. Content and Task Achievement (1 Point)
	3.1 1 Point: Summary is concise and accurately identifies all key points from the text, fully addressing the task.
	3.2 0.5 Points: Summary condenses the text but misses some key points or includes minor irrelevant details.
	3.3 O Points: Summary is unclear, unrelated to the text, or does not address the task.
4.	Oral Summary. Logic and Structure (1 Point)
	4.1 1 Point: Ideas are well-organized, coherent, and logically connected throughout.
	4.2 0.5 Points: Ideas are somewhat organized but may have occasional lapses in coherence or logical flow.
	4.3 0 Points: Ideas are disorganized, with frequent lapses in coherence or logic.
5	Oral Summary. Language (1 Point)
J .	Oral Sammary: Language (1 1 omit)
	5.1 1 Point: Language is clear, with accurate grammar, a broad range of vocabulary, and effective paraphrasing.
	5.2 0.5 Points: Language is partially clear, with some grammatical errors, limited vocabulary, or issues with paraphrasing that slightly hinder

un	derstanding.
	5.3 O Points: Language is unclear, with frequent errors in grammar, vocabulary, or paraphrasing that impede communication.
6.	Oral Summary. Delivery (1 Point)
	6.1 1 Point: Delivery is fluent and easy to understand, with prosodic features (e.g., intonation, rhythm) enhancing meaning and emphasis.
	6.2 0.5 Points: Delivery is mostly fluent, but occasional pauses or repetitions hinder understanding.
	6.3 O Points: Delivery is halting, with frequent pauses or repetitions that make comprehension difficult.
7.	Oral Answer to Question 1 - 1 point
	7.1 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.
	7.2 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.
	7.3 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.
8.	Oral Answer to Question 2 - 1 point

	8.1 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.			
	8.2 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.			
	8.3 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.			
9.	Oral Answer to Question 3 - 1 point			
0	9.1 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.			
	9.2 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.			
	9.3 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.			
10. Written Summary - 1 point				
	10.1 1 Point: The summary is submitted by the deadline. If Al generated, it is submitted together with the name of the LLM, the prompt used and (if applicable) a shared link to the thread. Student clearly states the Al input			

and	d thei	r own contributions.
		0.5 Points: The summary is submitted by the deadline, yet it is not whether it was generated by AI or written by a student.
	10.3 late.	0 Points: The summary is not submitted, or is submitted significantly
		Создано с помощью онлайн сервиса Чек-лист Эксперт: https://checklists.expert
		как это убрать?