# MOCK Check-list

## 1. Article Selection - 1 point

☐ **1.1** 1 Point: Article meets all specified criteria (relevance, credibility, publication date, length, complexity). Submitted to the shared folder by the deadline.

☐ **1.2** 0.5 Points: Article meets most criteria, but there may be minor issues with one or two aspects (e.g., slightly older publication date, length slightly outside the recommended range). Submitted by the deadline.

☐ **1.3** 0 Points: Article does not meet several criteria, is not submitted to the shared folder, or is submitted significantly late.

## 2. Question Formulation - 1 point

☐ **2.1** 1 Point: Formulates 3 insightful and thought-provoking questions that encourage critical thinking and reflection on the article's topic. Questions are clearly related to the article's core themes and demonstrate an understanding of discourse analysis prin

☐ **2.2** 0.5 Points: Formulates 1-2 questions that encourage critical thinking and reflection on the article's topic, yet they may lack depth or complexity. Connection to discourse analysis could be stronger.

☐ **2.3** 0 Points: Questions are superficial, irrelevant to the article's main points,

or not submitted.

## 3. Oral Summary. Content and Task Achievement (1 Point)

☐ **3.1** 1 Point: Summary is concise and accurately identifies all key points from the text, fully addressing the task.

☐ **3.2** 0.5 Points: Summary condenses the text but misses some key points or includes minor irrelevant details.

☐ **3.3** 0 Points: Summary is unclear, unrelated to the text, or does not address the task.

## 4. Oral Summary. Logic and Structure (1 Point)

☐ **4.1** 1 Point: Ideas are well-organized, coherent, and logically connected throughout.

☐ **4.2** 0.5 Points: Ideas are somewhat organized but may have occasional lapses in coherence or logical flow.

☐ **4.3** 0 Points: Ideas are disorganized, with frequent lapses in coherence or logic.

## 5. Oral Summary. Language (1 Point)

☐ **5.1** 1 Point: Language is clear, with accurate grammar, a broad range of vocabulary, and effective paraphrasing.

☐ **5.2** 0.5 Points: Language is partially clear, with some grammatical errors, limited vocabulary, or issues with paraphrasing that slightly hinder

understanding.

☐ **5.3**  0 Points: Language is unclear, with frequent errors in grammar, vocabulary, or paraphrasing that impede communication.

## 6. Oral Summary. Delivery (1 Point)

☐ **6.1**  1 Point: Delivery is fluent and easy to understand, with prosodic features (e.g., intonation, rhythm) enhancing meaning and emphasis.

☐ **6.2** 0.5 Points: Delivery is mostly fluent, but occasional pauses or repetitions hinder understanding.

☐ **6.3**  0 Points: Delivery is halting, with frequent pauses or repetitions that make comprehension difficult.

## 7. Oral Answer to Question 1 - 1 point

☐ **7.1** 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.

☐ **7.2** 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.

☐ **7.3** 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.

## 8. Oral Answer to Question 2 - 1 point

☐ **8.1** 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.

☐ **8.2** 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.

☐ **8.3** 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.

## 9. Oral Answer to Question 3 - 1 point

☐ **9.1** 1 Point: The answer directly addresses the question, demonstrates clear understanding, and accurately uses relevant discourse analysis terminology, theories, or frameworks.

☐ **9.2** 0.5 Points: The answer somewhat addresses the question but may lack clarity, omit key terms, or demonstrate only partial understanding of the concept.

☐ **9.3** 0 Points: The answer is off-topic, does not address the question, or demonstrates a lack of understanding of discourse analysis concepts.

## 10. Written Summary - 1 point

☐ **10.1** 1 Point: The summary is submitted by the deadline. If AI generated, it is submitted together with the name of the LLM, the prompt used and (if applicable) a shared link to the thread. Student clearly states the AI input

and their own contributions.

☐ **10.2**  0.5 Points: The summary is submitted by the deadline, yet it is not clear whether it was generated by AI or written by a student.

☐ **10.3**  0 Points: The summary is not submitted, or is submitted significantly late.